	phis sign (+) inside this box	Appro U.S. Potent and Trades ed to respond to a collection of informe	PTC/88/21 (08-80) wad for use through 10/81/2002. OMB 2851-0031 nerk Offins: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE for unless R displays a valid QNB control sumber.
TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial flang)  Yotel Number of Pages in This Submission		Application Number Filing Date First Named Inventor Group Art Unit Examiner Name	10/001, 340 11/23/2001 Alan Baruch 1771 C.A. Juska
Extension of Time  Express Abandon  Information Disclot  Certified Copy of F Document(s)  Response to Mise Incomplete Applica	corm Aa Aa (70 Cr)  phy Lid Crown Aa (70 Cr)  phy Lid Crown Aa Aa Crown Aa Aa Crown Aa Aa Crown Aa Aa Crown Aa	NCLOSURES (check eignment Papers r en Applicetion) emeing-releted Papers tition tition to Convert to a ovisional Application wer of Attorney, Revocation engle of Consepondence triese minal Disclaimer quaet for Refund	After Allowance Communication to Group  Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and interferences  Appeal Communication to Group (Aprel News, 8 Mr. New 8 Mr.  Proprietary Information  Status Letter  Other Enclosure(s) (please Identity below):
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT  Firm or Individual name  Werner H. Schroeder  Signature  Del 07   03  CERTIFICATE OF MAILING  hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first classe and in an envelope addressed to: Contributionary for Patents, Weshington, DC 20231 on this date:  Ob 07/03 FAX  Typed or printed name  Werner H. Schroeder			

## **Amendment after first Office Action**

**Application SN. 10/001,340** 

This communication is a response to a first Office Action having a mailing date of 05/07/2003 and setting forth a shortened stututory period for response of three months which would expire on 08/07/2003.

## Remarks

The response will follow the examiner's paragraph numbering in the detailed action.

- 1. the examiner objected to the disclosure for not accurately reciting some numbers. This has been corrected on a separate page.
- 2. The specification has been objected to for failing to provide a proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. This has been corrected by adding a sentence to paragraph 6 on page 5. This is not an introduction of new matter because the subject matter of claim 7 is part of the original disclosure.
- 3. Quotation of 35 U.S.C. 112 2nd par.
- 4. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 2nd Par. as being indefinite for failing to to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter.
- 5. Claim 7 recites a certain limitation having insufficient antecedent basis in the claim. This has been corrected by eliminating the conflicting recitation. The claim now simply refers back to the second layer.
- 6. Claims 1 6 have been allowed